

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/690,275	10/22/2003	Yasuji Yamasaki	117145	4581	
25944	7590 12/16/2005		EXAMINER		
OLIFF & B	ERRIDGE, PLC	VU, HUNG K			
P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2811	2811	

DATE MAILED: 12/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•	Н.				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summan	10/690,275	YAMASAKI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Hung Vu	2811				
Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extens ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after S-X (6) MOHTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was precised to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin  17 rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from  18 cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Se	eptember 2005.					
,	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s): 2-21 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) <u>19-21</u> is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>2-6 and 15-18</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>7-14</u> is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
o)[] Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	relection requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		<b>5</b>				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
<ul><li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li><li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li></ul>						
<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>5. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summan Paper No(s)/Mail D					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) [2] Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/5/05,10/20/05.	T	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/690,275

Art Unit: 2811

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The indicated allowability of claims 21, 22 and 25 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to the IDS filed by Applicants. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 2, 3, 5, 6, 15, 16 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kurashina (US 2002/0060756, of record).

Kurashina discloses, as shown in Figure 7, an electro-optical device, comprising:

data lines (6A) extending in a first direction above a substrate (10);

scanning lines (3a) extending in a second direction and intersecting with the data lines;

pixel electrodes (9a) and thin film transistors disposed corresponding to intersections of the data lines and the scanning lines;

storage capacitors (70-3) electrically connected to the thin film transistors and the pixel electrodes, and

a light-shielding film (420) disposed between the data lines and the pixel electrodes.

one of a pair of electrodes forming the storage capacitor being formed of a multilayered film containing a low resistance film (aluminum).

Regarding claim 2, Kurashina discloses the multi-layered film being formed of a light-absorbing film (polysilicon) as a bottom layer and a light-reflecting film as a top layer (aluminum).

Regarding claim 3, Kurashina discloses one of the pair of electrodes forming each of the storage capacitors forms part of a capacitor line formed in the second direction; and

the capacitor line being formed of a multi-layered film containing a low resistance film.

Regarding claim 5, Kurashina discloses the low resistance film being made of aluminum.

Regarding claim 6, Kurashina discloses each of the thin film transistors comprising:

a semiconductor layer including a channel region extending in the longitudinal direction and channel adjacent regions extending in the longitudinal direction further from the channel region, and a light-shielding portion is provided at both sides of the channel region [Figure 7].

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2811

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kurashina (US 2002/0060756, of record).

Kurashina discloses all of the claimed limitations except the multi-layered film and the data lines as the same film. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the multi-layered film and the data lines of Kurashina as the same film as that claimed by Applicant, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 19-21 are allowed.
- 5. Claims 7-14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 15 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/690,275

Art Unit: 2811

Page 5

### Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hung Vu whose telephone number is (571) 272-1666.

The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday to Friday 6:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie C. Lee can be reached on (571) 272 - 1732. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Vu

December 6, 2005

Hung Vu

Hung Un

Primary Examiner